1	James V. Weixel (166024) WEIXEL LAW OFFICE				
2	150 Post Street, Suite 520				
3	San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: (415) 691-7495				
4	Facsimile: (866) 640-3918 Email: appeals@jimweixel.com				
5	Attorney for Appellant PARAVUE CORPORATION				
6	PARAVUE CORPORATION				
7					
8	ANALDS OF A TIPE DIGITIPACE COLUMN				
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
12	PARAVUE CORPORATION,	) No. 3:14-cv-3887 CRB			
13		) Hon. Charles R. Breyer			
14	Appellant,	) )			
15	v.	<ul><li>STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER</li><li>CHANGING TIME OF BRIEFING</li><li>SCHEDULE</li></ul>			
16		ORDER			
17	HELLER EHRMAN, LLP,	) )			
18	Appellee.				
19	rippenee.	) }			
20		,			
21	Pursuant to Local Rule 7-12, Appellant PARAVUE CORPORATION and Appellee HELLER				
22	EHRMAN, LLP, by and through their respective undersigned counsel, stipulate and agree to an				
23	extension of the briefing deadlines in this appeal, in the respects stated herein.				
24	In support of this stipulation, the undersigned counsel for Appellant, James V. Weixel, states and				
25	declares as follows:				
26	1. I am an attorney at law licensed to practice before all courts of the State of California, and				
27	am a member of the bar of this Court. I am counsel of record in this proceeding for Appellant Paravue				
28					
		1			
1	I .				

Corporation. I have personal knowledge of the matters stated in this Declaration and, if called to testify thereto, could and would do so truthfully and competently.

- 2. I make this Declaration pursuant to Local Rule 6-2 in support of the parties' stipulation for an extension of the briefing schedule in this matter.
- 3. By previous agreement of the parties and the order of the Court, Paravue's opening brief to this Court is due by February 16, 2015, Heller Ehrman's answering brief is due by April 6, 2015, and Paravue's reply brief is due by April 20, 2015.
- 4. On December 19, 2015, Paravue filed a motion for a limited remand of this matter to the bankruptcy court for the purpose of allowing that court to consider and determine Paravue's motion for reconsideration of the summary judgments granted in Heller Ehrman's favor on the claims which are the subject of this appeal. The parties agreed to continue the hearing until February 6, 2015. However, the Court, *sua sponte*, set the hearing for February 20, 2015, which presumably was the Court's next available hearing date. Briefing on the motion has been completed, with the exception of a corrective supplement (without further argument) that Paravue plans to file no later than the end of business on Monday, February 9, 2015.
- 5. The parties had originally agreed to the above hearing and briefing schedule in part because the motion for limited remand would have been heard before the appellate briefing commenced. However, the Court's setting of the hearing on the motion for limited remand for February 20<sup>th</sup> resulted in that motion being scheduled for hearing *after* Paravue's opening appellate brief is due to be filed on February 16<sup>th</sup>, which is in the reverse order agreed upon by the parties in the previous stipulation. Accordingly, on February 6, 2015, I contacted Heller Ehrman's counsel, Marjorie E. Manning, Esq., to propose an extension of all deadlines in the appellate briefing schedule. Ms. Manning advised me it is her position the outcome of the February 20th hearing on the motion to remand will not alter the nature or scope of the appeal before this Court and thus provides no basis for an additional extension of time to file Paravue's opening brief. However, she agreed to stipulate to a one-week extension of the existing deadlines in the interest of professional cooperation and courtesy.
- 6. As stated in the stipulation below, the parties have stipulated and agreed to the following revised briefing schedule as appropriate and reasonable:

1	Appellant's opening br	rief due:	February 23, 2015		
2	Appellee's brief due:		April 13, 2015		
3	Appellant's reply brief	due:	April 27, 2015		
4	7. There have been three	stipulations for an ext	tended briefing schedule, which have resulted		
5	in the current briefing schedule reflected in the Court's order filed January 7, 2015 (Doc. 22).				
6	8. The requested extension would cause the briefing schedule in this matter to be extended				
7	by one week with respect to all deadlines, as set forth above.				
8	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United				
9	States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 9 <sup>th</sup> day of February, 2015, at San				
10	Francisco, California.				
11					
12	/ / 7 77 77 77				
13	James V. Weixel  James V. Weixel				
14					
15	STIPULATION				
16	Appellant Paravue Corporation and Appellee Heller Ehrman, LLP, by and through their				
17	respective undersigned counsel, stipulate and agree, and thereupon request that the Court enter an order				
18	accordingly, to change time to reflect an extension of the briefing schedule in the appeal before this				
19	Court as follows:				
20	Appellant's opening br	rief due:	February 23, 2015		
21	Appellee's brief due:		April 13, 2015		
22	Appellant's reply brief	due:	April 27, 2015		
23	This stipulation is made upon the declaration of James V. Weixel, Esq., counsel for Paravue				
24	Corporation in this proceeding, as stat	ted supra.			
25	The parties stipulate and agree to this briefing schedule and request that the Court enter an order				
26	accordingly, pursuant to Local Rules	6-2 and 7-12.			
27	///				
28	///				
		2			

## Case 3:14-cv-03887-CRB Document 27 Filed 02/11/15 Page 4 of 5

1	Dated: February 9, 2015. WEIXEL LAW OFFICE		
2			
3	By:/s/ James V. Weixel		
4	By: /s/ James V. Weixel  James V. Weixel		
5	Attorney for Appellant PARAVUE CORPORATION		
6	That vel cold official		
7			
8	Dated: February 9, 2015. <b>BOLLING &amp; GAWTHROP</b>		
9			
10	By:/s/ Marjorie E. Manning		
11	By: /s/ Marjorie E. Manning Marjorie E. Manning (by consent)		
12	Attorney for the Post-Confirmation Liquidating Debtor, Appellee HELLER EHRMAN, LLP		
13			
14			
15	ATTESTATION RE ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE(S)		
16	I, James V. Weixel, counsel for Appellant Paravue Corporation, hereby attest pursuant to Local		
17	Rule 5-1(i)(3) that the electronic signature(s) of other counsel and/or parties appearing above indicate(s)		
18	that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of said counsel and/or		
19	parties, and that such electronic signature(s) serve(s) in lieu of said signature(s) on the document.		
20	Dated: February 9, 2015. WEIXEL LAW OFFICE		
21			
22	By:/s/ James V. Weixel		
23	James V. Weixel		
24	Attorney for Appellant PARAVUE CORPORATION		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	4		

## **ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed: February 11, 2015

